



**MINNESOTA
JUDICIAL BRANCH**

Court Integration Services

Update Interpreter Status (Case Flag) Electronic Submission Service

Consumer Documentation

Copyright © 2007-2009 by the State of Minnesota
State Court Administrator's Office
All Rights Reserved

Document Revision History

Date	Author	Revision Highlights
10/31/2007	S. Donovan	Document created.
1/2/2008	S. Donovan	Updated
2/6/2008	S. Donovan	Added "Unknown" language selection. Added correlation section. Removed contact information (contact information can be found on the Integration website)

Table of Contents

1. PREFACE	4
2. OVERVIEW AND SCOPE	4
3. ACCESS TO THIS SERVICE	4
4. MESSAGING.....	4
4.1. Submission and Response Formats	4
4.2. Data Overview	4
4.3. Correlation.....	5
4.4. Simple Types.....	5
4.5. Message Validation	5
4.6. Retention Policy	5
4.7. Errors and Exceptions.....	5
5. NOTIFICATIONS.....	5

1. PREFACE

The purpose of this document is to provide a technical overview of the Update Interpreter Status case flag electronic submission service. An electronic submission service allows authorized external agencies to electronically submit information to the court, using a specified format.

For general details of MNCIS e-filing or electronic submission services available from [Court Integration Services](#) within SCAO, please read the [E-filing Technical Overview](#) which can be found on the Court Integration Services website.

2. OVERVIEW AND SCOPE

The Update Interpreter Status case flag service provides the capability for authorized entities to electronically submit an addition, edit or removal of an Interpreter Required case flag. These transactions are validated and then applied to MNCIS, the statewide court case management system.

3. ACCESS TO THIS SERVICE

The process for requesting access to use this service is documented on the [Court Integration Services](#) website.

4. MESSAGING

For general details on how on the Integration Services work (i.e. SOAP services, message queues, security and system requirements), please read the [Integration Services Technical Overview](#) which can be found on the [Court Integration Services](#) website.

4.1. Submission and Response Formats

An Update Interpreter Status message is submitted to the court in the form of an XML message. The format of the message must conform to the CourtXML schema for this service. The schema definition is called InterpreterStatus_3.1.xsd, and is available on the [CourtXML](#) link on the [Court Integration Services](#) website.

Within this schema definition there are two root elements:

UpdateInterpreterStatus
UpdateInterpreterStatusResponse

Response messages from this service will be returned as UpdateInterpreterStatusResponse messages or as SOAP fault errors.

4.2. Data Overview

4.2.1 [Data Concepts](#). This section is meant to help gain an understanding the data concepts specific to this submission service. It is not meant to be an exhaustive definition of the data required for this service. The complete format of the Update Interpreter Status message is documented in the schema for this service as noted above.

4.2.1.1 ForeignLanguageText – Language is required data that will be added in the comment section of the case flag. If the language is unknown at the time of submission, then the “Unknown” selection should be submitted.

4.2.1.2 Comments – Comments will be concatenated with the ForeignLanguageText separated by a hyphen (i.e. SPANISH – This is a case flag comment).

4.3. Correlation

This submission type can be correlated to a complaint, tab charge or citation.

4.4. Simple Types

This service utilizes the following simple type files for attributes/elements that represent MNCIS codes. Refer to the MNCIS [E-Filing Technical Overview](#) document, available on the [Court Integration Services](#) web site, for additional information about how simple types are used in e-file submission services.

CourtXML Element	Simple Type Companion File
ForeignLanguageText	LanguageType.xsd

4.5. Message Validation

Some of the validation applied to a message is performed by the State IB, and some is performed after the message is submitted from the State IB to MNCIS.

Some IB validation is common to all query request and e-file submission messages. Refer to the [Integration Services Technical Overview](#) document for information on this validation and the resulting SOAP fault error that can occur.

Some additional validation is common to all e-file submission messages. Refer to the MNCIS [E-Filing Technical Overview](#) document for information on this validation and the resulting SOAP fault errors that can occur.

4.6. Retention Policy

This service adheres to the general retention policy that is documented in the MNCIS [E-Filing Technical Overview](#) document.

4.7. Errors and Exceptions

- The Case Flag being deleted does not exist on the case.
- EJJFILE is not a valid uCaseFlag at node 111
- Unknown Submission Service Type. Submission type not valid (i.e submit caseflagaddedit vs. submit complaint).

5. NOTIFICATIONS

When the submission is applied to MNCIS, it will trigger a Case Detail case notification, just as if the case flag was manually entered into the MNCIS user interface. Notification results will come from user=IntegrationAdmin. For more details about case notifications, please read the [Case Notification Service](#) Documentation available from the [Court Integration Services](#) website.