



Question		Answer
1.	Can you provide the project time constraints: expected start and go-live dates?	A project timeline, expected start and go-live dates have not been set.
2.	For the COTs solution, is it possible to have a hybrid approach with one proposal?	If one solution is being proposed then one proposal may be submitted.
3.	What are the security requirements for off-premise / cloud hosted solutions? Does the State have any developed they can share? Do they use a specific framework for testing and/or validation?	The Minnesota Judicial Branch follows NIST 800-53, OWASP and NASCIO (a core services taxonomy for state IT security). Off-premise/ cloud hosted suitability will be based on a variety of factors including (but not exclusively) vendor security practices, service offerings and vendor history.
4.	Are you anticipating two separate solutions (court interpreter and the psych examine services) or one solution that can support both processes?	It will be up to the vendor to propose the appropriate solution.
5.	Can we get more detail inside of MNCIS so that we better understand interoperability dependencies?	Data exchange with MNCIS is implemented via web services built on Microsoft's Windows Communication Foundation Architecture.
6.	For the COTs or Custom solution, we assume the state will be the license holder for the software. Can you confirm?	Any needed licenses will be held by the Minnesota Judicial Branch.
7.	Given that these two particular services do not appear to be obviously related, does the proposed solution need to be built in such a way that it could handle additional unrelated managed services in the future?	At this time there are no plans to add additional services to this solution.
8.	Would any of your work require HIPAA compliance?	No