ANALYSIS OF FELONY DELINQUENCY CASES

Marcy Podkopacz, Ph.D.

Purpose of the Study

- Analyze ALL felonies filed in Hennepin County by race, gender, ethnicity, and arresting police location and whether they were charged in or out of custody.
- Further discriminate by seriousness of felony
 (mandatory hold, serious felony, other felony) the
 likelihood of being charged in custody.

Classification of Charged Offenses

Most Serious Felonies	Serious Felonies	Other Felonies
(15 points)	(10 points)	(6 points or 3 points)
Mandatory Hold	Releasable	Releasable
	(depending on total score)	(depending on total score)
Murder/Manslaughter	Assault 2 nd -5 th (no gun)	Non-felony person offenses
		(domestic and domestic related) 6 pts
Assault 1 st /2 nd degree (use of	Terroristic Threats	All other Felony offenses
gun)		Theft, Drugs, lower level Assaults 6
		pts
Aggravated Robbery (gun)	Simple Robbery	All other non-felony non-person
		offenses 3pts
Kidnapping	Criminal Sexual Conduct 3 rd -	
Opingia at Open at Act/Opd	•	
Criminal Sexual Conduct 1st/2nd	Burglary 2 nd	
Felony Solicitation of children	Escape/Fugitive from Justice	
Arson 1st degree/Burglary 1st	Aiding an Offender on 15 point offense	
Dangerous Weapons	Failure to register	

Why is this Important?

- Hypothesis: test whether the most serious offenses would be charged while in custody and there would be no difference by race, geographic location, or gender.
- Hennepin County's Juvenile Detention Center (JDC)
 has an all-time low in the number of youths
 detained; however, the JDC populations continues to
 be predominately non-white, why?

Data

- Data extracted from two sources and merged:
 - JDC RAI extracts
 - Minnesota Court Information System Data (MNCIS)
- □ Period of Time:
 - January of 2008 through April of 2009
- Variables
 - Race, Gender, Location of Arresting Police Agency,
 Custody Status, Continued Detention, and Offense
- □ Total Sample Size: 1,199

Important Caveats

- Includes only felony-level offenses filed in Hennepin County (even if youth was brought to detention).
- Custody status created using best available data:
 - In-custody status determined by codes entered in MNCIS at first appearance.
 - If "continue detention" or "release ordered" was entered at first appearance-case was flagged as charged in-custody.

Seriousness of Felony Charge by Location of Arresting Police Agency

Table 1. Number and Percent of Charged Felonies in Hennepin County by Location

			Loca	gency		
			Downtown	Suburban	All County/ State Agencies	Total
Classification of	Other Felonies	Count	174	257	14	445
Delinquency Felony Cases	(6 pts)	Column %	28.2%	47.2%	38.9%	37.1%
	Serious Felonies (10 pts) Most Serious	Count	159	146	18	323
		Column %	25.7%	26.8%	50.0%	26.9%
		Count	285	142	4	431
	Felonies (15 pts)	Column %	46.1%	26.1%	11.1%	35.9%
Total		Count	618	545	36	1,199
		Column %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Seriousness of Felony by Race

Table 2. Of Each Type of Felony Charge, What Percentage is Charged to White versus Minority Offenders?

			Racial G			
			White	Minority	Unknown, Refused, or Unavailable	Total
Classification of	Other Felonies	Count	117	297	31	445
Delinquency Felony Cases	(6 pts)	Row %	26.3%	66.7%	7.0%	100.0%
	Serious Felonies (10 pts) Most Serious Felonies (15 pts)	Count	52	256	15	323
		Row %	16.1%	79.3%	4.6%	100.0%
		Count	52	327	52	431
		Row %	12.1%	75.9%	12.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	221	880	98	1,199
		Row %	18.4%	73.4%	8.2%	100.0%

Seriousness of Felony by Gender

Table 3. Within each Gender, What Percentage is Charged with Each of the Felony Classifications?

			Gender		
			Female	Male	Total
Classification of Delinquency Felony Cases	Other Felonies (6 pts)	Count	80	365	445
. sicily cases	(0 p.0)	Column %	48.5%	35.3%	37.1%
	Serious Felonies	Count	42	281	323
	(10 pts)	Column %	25.5%	27.2%	26.9%
	Most Serious Felonies	Count	43	388	431
	(15 pts)	Column %	26.1%	37.5%	35.9%
Total		Count	165	1034	1199
		Column %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Seriousness of Felony by Custody Status

Table 4. Number and Percent of Cases Charged and Custody Status

			Charged In	Custody?	
			No	Yes	Total
Classification of	Other Felonies	Count	325	120	445
Delinquency Felony Cases	(6 pts)	Row %	73.0%	27.0%	100.0%
	Serious Felonies	Count	141	182	323
	(10 pts)	Row %	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
	Most Serious Felonies	Count	88	343	431
	(15 pts)	Row %	20.4%	79.6%	100.0%
Total		Count	554	645	1,199
		Row %	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%

Table 5. Number and Percent of Cases Charged, Custody Status and Racial Group

Custody Status by Race and Seriousness of Felony Offense

-Statistically significant findings are highlighted in **RED**.

-More minority youth are charged in custody for other felony (34% vs. 9%;) and most serious felonies (83% vs. 52%) compared to white youths.

Classification o	f Delinquency Fel	Child Charge Custo				
				No	Yes	Total
Other Felonies	Racial Group of	White	Count	107	10	117
(6 pts)	Child Charged		Row %	91.5%	8.5%	100.0%
		Minority	Count	195	102	297
			Row %	65.7%	34.3%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused,	Count	23	8	31
		or Unavailable	Row %	74.2%	25.8%	100.0%
	Total		Count	325	120	445
			Row %	73.0%	27.0%	100.0%
Serious	Racial Group of	White	Count	26	26	52
Felonies	Child Charged		Row %	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
(10 pts)		Minority	Count	111	145	256
			Row %	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused,	Count	4	11	15
		or Unavailable	Row %	26.7%	73.3%	100.0%
	Total		Count	141	182	323
			Row %	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
Most Serious	Racial Group of	White	Count	25	27	52
Felonies	Child Charged		Row %	48.1%	<mark>51.9%</mark>	100.0%
(15 pts)		Minority	Count	57	270	327
			Row %	17.4%	82.6%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused,	Count	6	46	52
		or Unavailable	Row %	11.5%	<mark>88.5%</mark>	100.0%
	Total		Count	88	343	431
			Row %	20.4%	79.6%	100.0%

Table 6. Number and Percent of Cases Charged, Custody Status and Racial Group

** SUBURBAN Communities ONLY **

Suburban Communities:
Custody Status by
Racial Group and
Seriousness of Felony
Charge

-Minority youth are more likely to be charged for other felonies (13%) compared to white youth (1%) and youth of unknown races (6%)

Classification of				Charged	while In	
Delinquency				Cust		
Felony Cases				No	Yes	Total
Other Felonies	Racial	White	Count	99	1	100
(6 pts)	Group of		Row %	99.0%	1.0%	100.0%
	Child	Minority	Count	122	18	140
	Charged		Row %	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused, or	Count	16	1	17
		Unavailable	Row %	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
	Total		Count	237	20	257
			Row %	92.2%	7.8%	100.0%
Serious Felonies	Racial	White	Count	16	14	30
(10 pts)	Group of		Row %	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
	Child	Minority	Count	64	45	109
	Charged		Row %	58.7%	41.3%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused, or	Count	3	4	7
		Unavailable	Row %	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
	Total		Count	83	63	146
			Row %	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%
Most Serious	Racial	White	Count	14	13	27
Felonies	Group of		Row %	51.9%	48.1%	100.0%
(15 pts)	Child	Minority	Count	29	69	98
	Charged		Row %	29.6%	70.4%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused, or	Count	3	14	17
		Unavailable	Row %	17.6%	82.4%	100.0%
	Total		Count	46	96	142
			Row %	32.4%	67.6%	100.0%

Table 7. Number and Percent of Cases Charged, Custody Status and Racial Group

** Minneapolis ONLY **

Minneapolis Communities: Custody Status by Race and Seriousness of Offense

-In Minneapolis, more white youth are charged in custody for serious felonies than minority youth (92% vs. 68%) but more minority youth are charged in custody for most serious felonies compared to white youth (88% vs. 52%).

Classificati	ion of Delinquen	Charged				
				Custo No	Yes	Total
Other	Racial Group	White	Count	6	7	13
Felonies	of Child		Row %	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
(6 pts)	Charged	Minority	Count	67	82	149
			Row %	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused, or	Count	5	7	12
		Unavailable	Row %	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
	Total		Count	78	96	174
			Row %	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
Serious	Racial Group	White	Count	1	12	13
Felonies	of Child		Row %	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
(10 pts)	Charged	Minority	Count	45	95	140
			Row %	32.1%	67.9%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused, or	Count	0	6	6
		Unavailable	Row %	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total		Count	46	113	159
			Row %	28.9%	71.1%	100.0%
Most	Racial Group	White	Count	11	12	23
Serious	of Child		Row %	47.8%	<mark>52.2%</mark>	100.0%
Felonies	Charged	Minority	Count	27	200	227
(15 pts)			Row %	11.9%	88.1%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused, or	Count	3	32	35
		Unavailable	Row %	8.6%	91.4%	100.0%
	Total		Count	41	244	285
			Row %	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%

Table 8. Number and Percent of Cases Charged, Custody Status and Gender

Classification of	Delinquency	Felony Cas	ses			
				Child Charged w	hile In Custody?	
				No	Yes	Total
Other	Gender	Female	Count	70	10	8
Felonies (6 pts)			Row%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0
(0 pts)		Male	Count	255	110	36
			Row%	69.9%	30.1%	100.0
	Total		Count	325	120	44
			Row%	73.0%	27.0%	100.0
Serious	Gender	Female	Count	24	18	4
Felonies			Row%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0
(10 pts)		Male	Count	117	164	28
			Row%	41.6%	58.4%	100.0
	Total		Count	141	182	3:
			Row%	43.7%	56.3%	100.0
Most Serious	Gender	Female	Count	12	31	
Felonies (15 pts)			Row%	27.9%	72.1%	100.0
		Male	Count	76	312	3
			Row%	19.6%	80.4%	100.0
	Total		Count	88	343	4:
			Row%	20.4%	79.6%	100.0

Custody Status by Gender and Seriousness of Felony Charge

- -For the most serious offenses, girls and boys are treated similarly.
- -For other felonies and serious felonies-boys are more likely to be kept in custody through charging than girls.

Table 9. Number and Percent of Cases Charged, Custody Status and Gender

** SUBURBAN Communities ONLY **

Suburban Communities: Custody Status by Gender and Seriousness of Felony

-Only significant difference by gender for suburban communities by seriousness of felony charge is for other felonies.

-Girls remain less likely (2% vs. 10%) to be in custody at charging.

Classification	of Delinquency	Felony Cas	ses			
				Child Charged w	hile In Custody?	
				No	Yes	Total
Other	Gender	Female	Count	65	1	66
Felonies (6 pts)			Row %	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%
(δ ριδ)		Male	Count	172	19	191
			Row %	90.1%	9.9%	100.0%
	Total		Count	237	20	257
			Row %	92.2%	7.8%	100.0%
Serious	Gender	Female	Count	13	6	19
Felonies			Row %	68.4%	31.6%	100.0%
(10 pts)		Male	Count	70	57	127
			Row %	55.1%	44.9%	100.0%
	Total		Count	83	63	146
			Row %	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%
Most	Gender	Female	Count	5	6	11
Serious Felonies			Row %	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
(15 pts)		Male	Count	41	90	131
			Row %	31.3%	68.7%	100.0%
	Total		Count	46	96	142
			Row %	32.4%	67.6%	100.0%

Table 10. Number and Percent of Cases Charged, Custody Status and Gender

** MINNEAPOLIS ONLY **

Minneapolis Communities: Custody Status by Gender and Seriousness of Felony

-No statistically significant differences between girls and boys regardless of offense.

-Interesting findings:
Girls are actually
charged in custody at a
higher rate for the least
serious felonies
compared to boys.

Classification	of Delinqu	ency Felo	Child Charç Cust			
				No	Yes	Total
Other	Gender	Female	Count	5	9	14
Felonies (6 pts)			Row %	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
(6 pts)		Male	Count	73	87	160
			Row %	45.6%	54.4%	100.0%
	Total		Count	78	96	174
			Row %	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
Serious	Gender	Female	Count	6	9	15
Felonies			Row %	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
(10 pts)		Male	Count	40	104	144
			Row %	27.8%	72.2%	100.0%
	Total		Count	46	113	159
			Row %	28.9%	71.1%	100.0%
Most Serious	Gender	Female	Count	7	24	31
Felonies			Row %	22.6%	77.4%	100.0%
(15 pts)		Male	Count	34	220	254
			Row %	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
	Total		Count	41	244	285
			Row %	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%

Continued Detention

Following analyses examine whether youth charged in custody remain in detention by race, gender, and arresting law enforcement agency.

Table 11. Continued Detention after the Detention Hearing by Location

** Charged In Custody Only **

Continued Detention by Location of Police and Seriousness of Felony Charge

- -Significant differences by police location for serious and most serious felonies.
- -Suburban locations continue to detain at a lower rate than downtown or state/county agencies for serious and most serious felonies.

			Continued	Detention?	
Classification of Delinquency Felony Cases			No	Yes	Total
Other	Downtown	Count	30	66	96
Felonies		Row %	31.3%	68.8%	100.0%
(6 pts)	Suburban	Count	4	16	20
		Row %	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
	All County/State	Count	1	3	4
	Agencies	Row %	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
	Total	Count	35	85	120
		Row %	29.2%	70.8%	100.0%
Serious Felonies	Downtown	Count	24	89	113
(10 pts)		Row %	21.2%	78.8%	100.0%
	Suburban	Count	25	38	63
		Row %	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
	All County/State	Count	1	5	6
	Agencies	Row %	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
	Total	Count	50	132	182
		Row %	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%
Most Serious	Downtown	Count	24	220	244
Felonies		Row %	9.8%	90.2%	100.0%
(15 pts)	Suburban	Count	21	75	96
		Row %	21.9%	<mark>78.1%</mark>	100.0%
	All County/State	Count	0	3	3
	Agencies	Row %	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	Count	45	298	343
		Row %	13.1%	86.9%	100.0%

Table 12. Continued Detention after the Detention Hearing by Race

** Charged In Custody Only **

Continued Detention by Race and Seriousness of Felony

- -For youths charged in custody-significantly more minority youth remain in detention for other felonies.
- -For the most serious felonies, no significant differences exist between white and minority youth, but significantly more minority youth compared youth of unknown race remain in detention.

Classification of Delinquency Felony Cases			Continued Detention?			
				No	Yes	Total
Other	Racial Group	White	Count	6	4	10
Felonies	of Child		Row %	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
(6 pts)	Charged	Minority	Count	23	79	102
			Row %	22.5%	<mark>77.5%</mark>	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused,	Count	6	2	8
		or Unavailable	Row %	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	Total		Count	35	85	120
			Row %	29.2%	70.8%	100.0%
Serious Felonies	Racial Group	White	Count	9	17	26
(10 pts)	of Child		Row %	34.6%	65.4%	100.0%
	Charged	Minority	Count	36	109	145
			Row %	24.8%	75.2%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused,	Count	5	6	11
		or Unavailable	Row %	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
	Total		Count	50	132	182
			Row %	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%
Most Serious	Racial Group	White	Count	5	22	27
Felonies	of Child		Row %	18.5%	<mark>81.5%</mark>	100.0%
(15 pts)	Charged	Minority	Count	29	241	270
			Row %	10.7%	89.3%	100.0%
		Unknown, Refused,	Count	11	35	46
		or Unavailable	Row %	23.9%	<mark>76.1%</mark>	100.0%
	Total		Count	45	298	343
			Row %	13.1%	86.9%	100.0%

Continued Detention by Race, Location of Police Agency and Seriousness of Felony

Suburban In Custody Cases

Minority youth charged in custody for other felonies are detained by judges more often (89%) than white youth or youth whose race is unknown.

Minneapolis In-Custody Cases

- Same pattern exists for Minneapolis for other felonies:
- 74% of minorities charged in custody remain in detention compared to 43% of white youth and 29% of youth from unknown races.

Table 17. Continued Detention after the Detention Hearing by Gender

** Charged In Custody Cases Only **

Continued Detention by Gender and Seriousness of Felony

- -No significant differences exist by gender and seriousness of the felony.
- -No significant differences exist by gender, seriousness of felony and police location.

Classification of Delinquency Felony Cases			Continued Detention?			
				No	Yes	Total
Other	Gender	Female	Count	4	6	10
Felonies (6 pts)			Row %	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		Male	Count	31	79	110
			Row %	28.2%	71.8%	100.0%
	Total		Count	35	85	120
			Row %	29.2%	70.8%	100.0%
Serious	Gender	Female	Count	7	11	18
Felonies (10 pts)			Row %	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		Male	Count	43	121	164
			Row %	26.2%	73.8%	100.0%
	Total		Count	50	132	182
			Row %	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%
Most	Gender	Female	Count	3	28	31
Serious Felonies (15 pts)			Row %	9.7%	90.3%	100.0%
		Male	Count	42	270	312
			Row %	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%
	Total		Count	45	298	343
			Row %	13.1%	86.9%	100.0%

Summary: Big Picture Findings

- □ Controlling for seriousness of offense, minorities are significantly more likely to be detained (34%) while the charging decision was being made for least serious felonies compared to whites (9%) and the most serious felonies (83% minorities compared to 52% whites).
- Examining whether or not a youth continues to be detained during the course of a case, minorities continued to be detained more often than whites (78% compared to 40%) for the lease serious felonies and the most serious felonies (89% for minorities compared to 82% for whites).
- For youths charged in custody-significantly more minority youth remain in detention for other felonies.

Recommendations

- Enhance communications between the Minneapolis and Suburban communities to discuss coordinating booking policies.
- The Juvenile Court bench should continue to monitor youth at the detention hearing for the possibility of finding a safe alternative to detention.
- Next steps-similar examination using more current data.
 - Integrate new approaches and initiatives being used in Hennepin County to the analysis (i.e. the Bridge and EHM).