

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Property Court After One Year: Executive Summary

Prepared by:

Marcy Podkopacz, Ph.D. Research Director

September 2002

Property Court after One Year

Hennepin County's Property Court began in the late fall of 2000. For this review, we will consider that all of 2001 was under the new Property Court format and will compare the processing of cases to prior years, most specifically, 2000.

How many new cases have we handled?

Over the last nine years there has been an increase of 19% in new felony level property cases being filed with the court. In the last year, since the court began, there has been an increase of less than 1% in new filings: in 2000 we had 2,306 new cases, accounting for over 39% of all felony filings whereas in 2001 2,322 new cases, also accounting for 39% of all felony filings. This is a change from the six month look at filings which showed that property type felonies had decreased 12% since last year. We obviously made up that 12% in the last six months of 2001.

Property Court is composed of a number of different types of property case types. Table 2 shows the variety of cases that funnel through Property Court and how these cases have changed over time. These case types include burglary, arson, theft, property damage, receiving stolen property and motor vehicle theft.

Since 1993 two of the most serious case types, burglary and arson have decreased, as has theft-related cases and motor vehicle thefts. The remaining case types have increased over the long term.

Since last year, arson, receiving stolen property and motor vehicle theft has decreased most significantly, while forgery/fraud cases, property damage, theft and theft-related crimes have increased.

How have we done handling these cases?

Active Cases

Currently, Property Court cases are close to meeting the internally set goal of 90 days with an average age of 105 days for active cases (see Table 3). When bench warrant time (time when cases are out on bench warrant) is removed we not only meet but exceed the internal goal by resolving the cases in 69 days. Only about ¼ of the Property Court cases exceed the goal.

There were eleven cases out of the 587 active cases that were considered statistical outliers. If these cases could be handled more quickly then the length of time to dispose of Property Court cases would be reduced to 57 days.

The Court is mandated to follow the Supreme Court guidelines for felony cases. This guideline is to have 99% of the cases resolved within one year. Clearly the active cases in Property Court, on average, are well within these guidelines.

Resolved Cases

We resolved slightly less cases (decrease of 4% in Table 4) in 2001 compared to 2000. However, the number of cases requesting and receiving trials have nearly doubled since Property court. These requests were mostly for court trials not jury trials. Even though there have been significantly more trials, the percentage of cases ultimately convicted has remained fairly stable.

Table 4 shows that the various dispositions have changed very little for cases moving through Property Court. In general 45%-48% of the cases are found or plead guilty, about 16-19% are acquitted or dismissed. The rest of the cases are given some alternative disposition that necessitates the defendant following court orders prior to the charges being dismissed (between 35-36%).

Table 5 compares the number of days and appearances necessary for case resolution of property felonies for the last two years. Both adjusted and unadjusted means are presented. Adjusted means are averages where an outlier analysis has been performed to remove the cases that exceed 3 standard deviations. Many people believe that these adjusted means depict a truer average and therefore the adjusted averages will be interpreted here.

The longest part of processing property felonies is the time between the offense date and the date that the county attorney decides to prosecute the case (an average of 120 days in 2000 and 114 days in 2001 – see Table 5). For other felony cases, these prosecution decisions occur more quickly (on average for all felonies at about 2 ½ months) because cases are brought into court by complaint or indictment. For Property Court over 65% of the cases are brought to court by summons. This method takes longer since letters are sent to the defendant and court dates are set out in advance.

It takes another 16-19 days on average to move between the filing of the case and the first hearing or appearance on the case (16 in 2001). The timing for this stage is similar for property offenses when compared to other type of felonies.

The piece that is most under the court's control is the time between first appearance and case resolution. This part takes slightly over 3 months in Hennepin County District Court for property cases. Non-property felonies were processed from first appearance to disposition in a similar amount of time. Property Court was able to reduce this stage of the process by 7 days or about 1 week.

The number of appearances remained stable – an average of 4.2 in both years. This is a positive finding since the number of court trials has increased so significantly. Other felonies required an average of 5 appearances in order to resolve the case.

Scheduling of Daily Appearances

Table 6 shows the days of the week that appearances were scheduled to handle the property felonies. These appearances include post-disposition appearances as well as the appearances that were necessary to resolve the case. Prior to the beginning of Property Court Tuesdays and Thursdays were the heaviest court days. In 2001, Mondays and Thursdays were the heaviest days for appearances.

Table 7 shows the type of appearance that was scheduled on each day for each year. In 2000, over half of each day's appearances were composed of first appearances. By 2001, this had dropped to less than half of each day. Mondays were particularly busy with trials in 2001 under Property Court (16%) while in the previous year these appearances only accounted for 8% of the court business that day.

Restitution

One final issue for Property Court has to do with imposing and collecting restitution. One of the goals for Property Court was to impose restitution more quickly and to collect the money for the victims in a more expeditious manner. Community Corrections collects this information since restitution is part of the probation agreement with the defendant. An attachment of their analysis is included at the end of this report. They report that restitution was imposed and collected more quickly once Property Court was in existence. I have attached Figure 2 of their report to the tables here but their full report is attached as well.

Although the 2001 numbers for Property Court are all in the desired direction none of them have reached statistical significance.

Table 1. New Cases Filed for Property Felonies										
	1993	Count	1,965							
	1333	Row %	38.3%							
	1994	Count	1,891							
	1334	Row %	37.9%							
	1995	Count	1,848							
	1993	Row %	37.7%							
	1996	Count	2,041							
	1997	Row %	40.0%							
Year of Court		Count	2,095							
Acceptance	1991	Row %	35.3%							
	1998	Count	2,032							
	1990	Row %	33.8%							
	1999	Count	2,331							
	1999	Row %	39.5%							
	2000	Count	2,315							
	2000	Row %	39.2%							
	2001	Count	2,322							
	2001	Row %	39.0%							
Long Term 199	+18%									
Short Term 200	hange	+0.3%								

				Type of Property Felony											
			Burglary	Fraud - Forgery	Arson	Misc. Property	Property Damage	Receiving Stolen Property	Theft	Theft Related	MV Theft	Total			
	4000	Count	167	343	13	8	70	120	433	571	240	1,965			
	1993	Row %	8.5%	17.5%	.7%	.4%	3.6%	6.1%	22.0%	29.1%	12.2%	100.0%			
	1004	Count	155	400	6	11	68	128	420	489	214	1,891			
	1994	Row %	8.2%	21.2%	.3%	.6%	3.6%	6.8%	22.2%	25.9%	11.3%	100.0%			
	400E	Count	107	390	7	8	72	133	350	588	193	1,848			
	1995	Row %	5.8%	21.1%	.4%	.4%	3.9%	7.2%	18.9%	31.8%	10.4%	100.0%			
	1996	Count	115	415	8	11	79	143	368	721	181	2,041			
Year		Row %	5.6%	20.3%	.4%	.5%	3.9%	7.0%	18.0%	35.3%	8.9%	100.0%			
Case	1997	Count	94	430	2	23	92	170	453	614	217	2,095			
was		Row %	4.5%	20.5%	.1%	1.1%	4.4%	8.1%	21.6%	29.3%	10.4%	100.0%			
Filed	1998	Count	117	416	10	21	91	161	482	486	248	2,032			
	1998	Row %	5.8%	20.5%	.5%	1.0%	4.5%	7.9%	23.7%	23.9%	12.2%	100.0%			
	1999	Count	120	508	9	42	137	140	568	487	320	2,331			
	1999	Row %	5.1%	21.8%	.4%	1.8%	5.9%	6.0%	24.4%	20.9%	13.7%	100.0%			
	2000	Count	104	438	11	42	129	165	690	460	276	2,315			
	2000	Row %	4.5%	18.9%	.5%	1.8%	5.6%	7.1%	29.8%	19.9%	11.9%	100.0%			
	2001	Count	107	509	5	43	145	122	664	526	201	2,322			
	2001	Row %	4.6%	21.9%	.2%	1.9%	6.2%	5.3%	28.6%	22.7%	8.7%	100.0%			
Lon	g Term C 1993-20		-36%	+48%	-62%	+81%	+107%	+2%	+53%	-8%	-16%	+18%			
Sho	rt Term 0 2000-20	•	+3%	+16%	-55%	+2%	+12%	-26%	-4%	+14%	-27%	+0.3%			

Table 3. Timing Statistics for ACTIVE Property Felony Cases

As of December 31, 2001

How are we doing with respect to our goals:

If we handled the longest cases:

			Average			Number	Number of	
	Total	Current	Time	Target	Cases	of Cases	Days	Adjusted
	Active	Average	(Bench	Goal in	longer	that are	represented by	Average
Type of Case	Cases	Number of	Warrant	Days	than the	Statistical	the statistical	Number of
		Days Old	Time Removed)	·	Goal	Outliers*	outliers*	Days
					134		364 days or	
Property	578	105 days	69 days	90 days	23.2%	11	longer	57 days

^{*} Over 3 standard deviations away from the average (with bench warrant time removed).

Table 4. Property Court Cases: Type of Disposition by Year of Disposition

		Year of Re	esolution	
		2000	2001	
Found Cuilty	Count	42	83	+97%
Found Guilty	Column %	1.9%	3.9%	+97%
Pled Guilty	Count	1,045	869	-17%
Pied Guilty	Column %	46.5%	40.5%	-17 70
Continue for Dismissal	Count	61	47	-23%
Continue for Distilissal	Column %	2.7%	2.2%	-23%
De Novo	Count	726	729	-0-
De Novo	Column %	32.3%	34.0%	-0-
Diversion	Count	3	3	-0-
Diversion	Column %	.1%	.1%	-0-
Acquittal	Count	5	7	-40%*
Acquittai	Column %	.2%	.3%	-4 0 /0
Dismissal	Count	363	408	+12%
Distilissal	Column %	16.2%	19.0%	T1270
Total	Count	2,245	2,146	-4%
i Olai	Column %	100.0%	100.0%	-4 /0

^{*} Very small cell numbers should be interpreted with caution

Table 5. Case Processing Indicators for Property Court

Unadjusted Means and Adjusted Means*

							Days	from	Numl	ber of
Property	Number of cases		Number of cases Days from		Days from	Days from filing to		arance to	appearances to case resolution	
Court			offense	to filing	first appearance		case resolution			
Cases	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
	2,245	2,146	176	157	29	27	141	129	4.3	4.3
	2,045	2,001	120	114	19	16	107	100	4.2	4.2

^{***} BENCH WARRANT TIME IS NOT REMOVED FROM THIS ANALYSIS ***

Table 6. DAY of the WEEK for appearances by Year of Final Case Resolution

			Year of Final Ca	se Resolution	
			2000	2001	Total
	MONDAY	Count	2,594	2,468	5,062
	MONDAY	Column %	20.3%	23.7%	21.8%
	TUESDAY	Count	2,865	2,028	4,893
	TUESDAT	Column %	22.4%	19.5%	21.1%
DAY of	WEDNESDAY	Count	2,787	2,099	4,886
the WEEK		Column %	21.8%	20.2%	21.1%
	THURSDAY	Count	2,981	2,290	5,271
	HIOKSDAT	Column %	23.3%	22.0%	22.7%
	FRIDAY	Count	1,566	1,507	3,073
	FRIDAT	Column %	12.2%	14.5%	13.3%
	Total	Count	12,793	10,392	23,185
	ı Olai	Column %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 7. Type of Appearance by DAY of the WEEK by Final Disposition Year

					DAY of the WEEK						
	Year of Final Resolution		Year of Final Resolution MON		TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	Total		
	First App	oaranaa	Count	1,426	1,723	1,623	1,676	734	7,182		
	First App	Jear arice	Column %	55.7%	61.0%	59.1%	57.6%	48.4%	57.2%		
	Second Ap	noaranaa	Count	448	314	307	353	304	1,726		
	Second Ap	ppearance	Column %	17.5%	11.1%	11.2%	12.1%	20.0%	13.7%		
	Pretrial-Pr	eliminary	Count	147	200	206	341	146	1,040		
	Appearance	rance	Column %	5.7%	7.1%	7.5%	11.7%	9.6%	8.3%		
	Dies Annearance	Count	11	17	19	25	9	81			
	П Ріса Арр	Plea Appearance	Column %	.4%	.6%	.7%	.9%	.6%	.6%		
	Sente	Sentencing Appearance	Count	85	139	169	124	55	572		
2000	Appea		Column %	3.3%	4.9%	6.1%	4.3%	3.6%	4.6%		
2000	Trial App	oaranoo	Count	207	168	141	98	45	659		
	Пат Арр	ear arre	Column %	8.1%	5.9%	5.1%	3.4%	3.0%	5.2%		
	Revoc	ation	Count	107	163	141	152	76	639		
	Appea	rance	Column %	4.2%	5.8%	5.1%	5.2%	5.0%	5.1%		
	Post R	eview	Count	118	90	132	113	119	572		
	Appea	rance	Column %	4.6%	3.2%	4.8%	3.9%	7.8%	4.6%		
	Miscella	aneous	Count	9	10	10	28	29	86		
	Appea	rance	Column %	.4%	.4%	.4%	1.0%	1.9%	.7%		
	Total		Count	2,558	2,824	2,748	2,910	1,517	12,557		
	Iolai		Column %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Table 7. (continued) Type of Appearance by DAY of the WEEK by Final Disposition Year

					DAY of the WEEK						
	Year of Final Resolution		MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	Total			
	First App	ooronoo	Count	971	891	936	1054	669	4,521		
	First App	bear arrice	Column %	39.8%	44.6%	45.8%	46.7%	45.7%	44.3%		
	Second An	nearanaa	Count	290	213	193	210	188	1,094		
	Second Ap	ppearance	Column %	11.9%	10.7%	9.4%	9.3%	12.8%	10.7%		
	Pretrial-Pr	eliminary	Count	540	541	571	664	419	2,735		
	Appearance	rance	Column %	22.1%	27.1%	27.9%	29.4%	28.6%	26.8%		
	Dies Ammanana	Count	19	11	22	15	6	73			
	Ріеа Арр	Plea Appearance	Column %	.8%	.6%	1.1%	.7%	.4%	.7%		
	Sente	Sentencing Appearance	Count	117	126	104	105	70	522		
2001	Appea		Column %	4.8%	6.3%	5.1%	4.6%	4.8%	5.1%		
2001	Trial Ann		Count	396	127	67	50	16	656		
	Trial App	earance	Column %	16.2%	6.4%	3.3%	2.2%	1.1%	6.4%		
	Revoc	ation	Count	35	8	29	53	16	141		
	Appea	rance	Column %	1.4%	.4%	1.4%	2.3%	1.1%	1.4%		
	Post R	eview	Count	60	71	111	80	65	387		
	Appea	rance	Column %	2.5%	3.6%	5.4%	3.5%	4.4%	3.8%		
	Miscella	Miscellaneous Appearance		10	12	12	28	15	77		
	Appea			.4%	.6%	.6%	1.2%	1.0%	.8%		
	Total		Count	2,438	2,000	2,045	2,259	1,464	10,206		
	Total		Column %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		



% of Cases With Pymt in 90 Days: 2000- 18.6% 2001- 26.2%

