

FILED

September 1, 2020

**OFFICE OF
APPELLATE COURTS**

STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN SUPREME COURT

A20-0808

In re Petition for Disciplinary Action against
Daniel Martin Lieber, a Minnesota Attorney,
Registration No. 0207731.

ORDER

Respondent Daniel Martin Lieber is an attorney who we previously disbarred, *In re Lieber*, 699 N.W.2d 722, 722 (Minn. 2005) (order), and later reinstated to the practice of law, *In re Lieber*, 834 N.W.2d 200, 210 (Minn. 2013). After being reinstated, Lieber committed professional misconduct. *In re Lieber*, 939 N.W.2d 284, 287 (Minn. 2020). In light of substantial mitigating circumstances, we determined that the appropriate discipline was a stayed disbarment. *Id.* When imposing this discipline, we expressly stated that:

If the Director becomes aware of any allegation or information that respondent has committed any unprofessional conduct or failed to comply with the terms of his stayed disbarment, the Director may immediately file a petition asking that respondent be temporarily suspended pending the completion of an investigation by the Director and resolution of a petition for disciplinary action.

Id. at 298.

The Director of the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility has now filed a petition for disciplinary action and a supplementary petition for disciplinary action alleging that Lieber has committed professional misconduct warranting public discipline. The Director has also filed a motion to temporarily suspend Lieber pending resolution of the

disciplinary proceedings in this matter. Lieber does not object to the motion for a temporary suspension.

We have independently reviewed the file and agree that Lieber should be temporarily suspended pending resolution of the disciplinary proceedings in this matter.

Based upon all the files, records, and proceedings therein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent Daniel Martin Lieber is temporarily suspended from the practice of law as of the date of this order pending final resolution of the disciplinary proceedings in this matter.

2. Respondent shall comply with Rule 26, Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility (requiring notice of suspension to clients, opposing counsel, and tribunals).

Dated: September 1, 2020

BY THE COURT:



Natalie E. Hudson
Associate Justice