

**FILED**STATE OF MINNESOTA  
COUNTY OF CARVERMAR 22 2017  
CARVER COUNTY COURTSDISTRICT COURT  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
PROBATE DIVISION

In the Matter of:

Court File No. 10-PR-16-46

Estate of Prince Rogers Nelson,  
Deceased.**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR FILING  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

The above-entitled matter came before the Court on March 16, 2017, without a hearing. On March 13, 2017, Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson filed with the court the Memorandum in Support of Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's Objections to Stinson Leonard Street, LLP's Fee Statements through January 31, 2017, and the Affidavit of Thomas P. Kane in Support of Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's Objections to Stinson Leonard Street, LLP's Fee Statements through January 31, 2017 (the "Filings"). Mr. Baker and Ms. Nelson request that based upon the confidential terms of the court-approved agreements that are not yet finalized and executed and other confidential information in the attorneys' fees invoices, their unredacted Filings be filed under seal.

Pursuant to the Court's Order Regarding the Filing of Certain Documents Under Seal filed January 23, 2017, if a party filing a document wishes a document to be filed under seal, it shall file with the document a (1) motion for the document to be filed under seal, (2) memorandum setting forth why the document, or portions of the document, should be filed under seal, (3) redacted version of the document to be filed publicly (if applicable) and (4) proposed order for the sealing of the document. The Court shall exercise a strong preference for the sealing, through the use of a redacted copy, of only those portions a document that reference confidential business transactions, privileged information, or otherwise should be filed under seal. In this analysis, the party should review the standard set forth in *Minneapolis Star & Tribune v. Schumacher*, 392 N.W.2d 197 (Minn.1986). While Mr. Baker and Ms. Nelson have complied with the procedural requirements for filing documents under seal, the redacted versions of the Filings redact significantly more information than necessary to protect confidential information.

Therefore, the Court makes the following:

## ORDER

1. Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's motion to file under seal their Memorandum in Support of Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's Objections to Stinson Leonard Street, LLP's Fee Statements through January 31, 2017, and the Affidavit of Thomas P. Kane in Support of Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's Objections to Stinson Leonard Street, LLP's Fee Statements through January 31, 2017 is respectfully DENIED.

2. The Memorandum in Support of Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's Objections to Stinson Leonard Street, LLP's Fee Statements through January 31, 2017, and the Affidavit of Thomas P. Kane in Support of Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson's Objections to Stinson Leonard Street, LLP's Fee Statements through January 31, 2017 shall be unsealed on April 17, 2017, unless prior to that date Omarr Baker and Tyka Nelson submit redacted versions of those documents consistent with the standard set for the in *Minneapolis Star & Tribune v. Schumacher*, and those redacted documents are found satisfactory to the Court.

Dated: March 16, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin W. Eide  
Judge of District Court

NOTICE: A true and correct copy of this Order/Notice has been served by EFS upon the parties. Please be advised that orders/notices sent to attorneys are sent to the lead attorney only.