

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

DISTRICT COURT  
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

---

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

vs.

Derek Michael Chauvin,  
Tou Thao,  
Thomas Kiernan Lane,  
J. Alexander Kueng,

Dist Ct. File 27-CR-20-12646  
Dist Ct. File 27-CR-20-12949  
Dist Ct. File 27-CR-20-12951  
Dist Ct. File 27-CR-20-12953

Defendants.

---

The above-entitled matter came before the undersigned Judge, on the request by various media outlets for visual or audio coverage on file with this Court. There were no appearances, but the State by email objected to such coverage. All defendants indicated by email that they did not object to the requests for visual or audio coverage of pretrial proceedings.

These requests, and the Court's action on these requests, are governed by Rule 4 of the Minnesota General Rules of Practice for the District Courts. Rule 4.01 provides the general rule that no visual or audio recordings shall be taken in any courtroom, area of a courthouse where courtrooms are located, or other area designated by order of the chief judge during a trial or hearing of any case. At the Hennepin County Government Center, these areas include Floors 3 through 19, Floor 20, and Floor 24 of the Court Tower. Fourth Judicial District Court Policy D.13(2). At the Hennepin County Public Safety Facility, these areas include the courtrooms and the waiting areas immediately adjacent to the courtrooms. *Id.*

General Rule of Practice 4.02(d) sets forth the exceptions to the general rule as they apply to criminal proceedings before a defendant has been found guilty. Pursuant to this rule, a judge may authorize, with the consent of all parties, the visual or audio recording and reproduction of appropriate court proceedings. A pretrial hearing or any “hearings that take place outside the presence of the jury,” including hearings to determine the admissibility of evidence and to determine motions, are not appropriate court proceedings for visual or audio reproduction. Gen. R. Prac. 4.02(d)(v).

Given that this is a case that has already received substantial pretrial media coverage, the Court finds that audio or video coverage of the pretrial hearings in this case would not only violate Gen. R. Prac. 4.02(d)(v), but would risk tainting a potential Hennepin County jury pool. In addition, not all parties consent, as required by the rule.

Based on the foregoing,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**

There will be no audio or visual recording or reproduction of any pretrial hearing in this matter except the recording made as the official court record.

Audio or visual recording of trial proceedings will be decided at a later date by separate order.

**BY THE COURT**

---

Peter A. Cahill  
Judge of District Court