# 2022 Congressional Plan Comparison Office of 2022 PW 8C08 

## Population Equality

Overall Range - Persons
Overall Range - Percent

| 1 | 1 | 64,039 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $8.98 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

## Minority Districts -Voting Age Population

| Districts $30 \%$ or more total minority <br> population | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Largest total minority population | $23.1 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ |
| Largest Black population | $11 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Largest Asian population | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Largest Hispanic population | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Largest American Indian population | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Political Subdivision Splits

Counties

| Number split | 8 | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Times split | 13 | 12 | 12 | 18 |

Cities, Townships, Unorganized Territories

| Number split | 7 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Times split | 7 | 7 | 8 | 10 |

## Precincts

| Number split | 12 | 9 | 0 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Times split | 12 | 9 | 0 | 10 |


| 2002 | 2012 | 2020 | 2022 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Court | CourtPW CBase202 | PW 8C08 |  |

## Communities of Interest Splits

Indian Reservations

| Number split | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Times split | 2 |

## Compactness

| Reock Mean (higher is better) | .42 | .41 | .41 | .39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Polsby-Popper Mean (higher is better) | .31 | .33 | .33 | .27 |
| Convex Hull (higher is better) | .77 | .77 | .77 | .73 |
| Population Polygon (higher is better) | .68 | .71 | .71 | .68 |
| Population Circle (higher is better) | .34 | .36 | .36 | .37 |

## Incumbent Pairs

| Incumbents Paired | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Open Seats | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Democrat v. Democrat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Republican v. Republican | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Democrat v. Republican | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cores of Prior Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Average core of prior district |  | $92 \%$ | NA | $94 \%$ |
| Population Moved |  |  | NA | 325,963 |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { CourtP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ \text { Base202 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \text { PW 8C08 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partisanship | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \\ \text { Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006-10 \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2012-20 } \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2012-20 } \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ |
| Democratic Vote Statewide | 45\% | 48\% | 51\% | 51\% |
| Republican Vote Statewide | 46\% | 45\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| Third Party Vote Statewide | 9\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Democratic Plurality | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Democratic Seat Gap (Districts with a plurality minus proportional seats) | (1) | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Republican Plurality | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Republican Seat Gap (Districts with a plurality minus proportional seats) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Competitive <br> (Plurality 8\% or less) | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Safe <br> (Plurality 20\% or more) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mean-Median Gap <br> (Democratic minus Republican mean plurality minus median plurality. Ideal is 0.$)$ | 3\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% |
| Lopsided Wins Gap (Democratic average winning plurality minus Republican average winning plurality. Ideal is 0 .) | 4\% | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Declination <br> (Losing and winning Democratic pluralities graphed from smallest to largest. <br> Difference of angles of losing and winning graphs converted to a scale of -1 to 1 . Ideal is 0 .) | 14\% | 29\% | 16\% | 17\% |
| Efficiency Gap <br> (Democratic wasted votes minus Republican wasted votes. Ideal is 0 .) | 9\% | 17\% | 9\% | 10\% |

# Legislative Plan Comparison 2022 PW L10 

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ <br> Court | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> Court | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> PW LBase202 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ <br> PW L10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population Equality |  |  |  |  |
| SENATE DISTRICTS | $1.35 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $20.96 \%$ | $3.83 \%$ |
| Overall Range | $0.28 \%$ | $0.21 \%$ | $4.57 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ |
| Mean Deviation |  |  |  |  |
| HouSE DISTRICTS | $1.56 \%$ | $1.60 \%$ | $36.00 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ |
| Overall Range | $0.32 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $5.15 \%$ | $0.99 \%$ |
| Mean Deviation |  |  |  |  |

## Minority Districts -Voting Age Population

SENATE DISTRICTS

| Majority-Minority Districts | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Districts $30 \%$ or more total minority <br> population | 3 | 6 | 9 | 10 |
| Largest total minority population | $53.9 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ |
| Largest Black population | $35.7 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| Largest Asian population | $11.6 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ |
| Largest Hispanic population | $18.4 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| Largest American Indian population | $12.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ <br> Court | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> Court | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> PW LBase202 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ <br> PW L10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HOUSE |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICTS |  |  |  |  |
| Majority-Minority Districts | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Districts 30\% or more total minority <br> population | 9 | 13 | 20 | 21 |
| Largest total minority population | $66.1 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| Largest Black population | $45.8 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ |
| Largest Asian population | $19.8 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ |
| Largest Hispanic population | $19.0 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| Largest American Indian population | $15.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |

## Political Subdivision Splits

SENATE DISTRICTS
Counties

| Number split | 31 | 39 | 39 | 45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Times split | 76 | 86 | 85 | 97 |

Cities, Townships, Unorganized
Territories

| Number split | 25 | 44 | 41 | 38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Times split | 36 | 53 | 50 | 47 |
| Precincts |  |  |  |  |
| Number split | 69 | 98 | 0 | 1 |
| Times split | 70 | 99 | 0 | 1 |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ \text { PW LBase202 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \text { PW L10 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number split | 50 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Times split | 148 | 163 | 163 | 174 |
| Cities, Townships, Unorganized Territories |  |  |  |  |
| Number split | 46 | 88 | 81 | 73 |
| Times split | 77 | 118 | 111 | 104 |
| Precincts |  |  |  |  |
| Number split | 119 | 242 | 0 | 28 |
| Times split | 121 | 246 | 0 | 28 |
| Indian Reservation Splits |  |  |  |  |
| Senate Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Indian Reservations |  |  |  |  |
| Number split | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Times split | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| House Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Indian Reservations |  |  |  |  |
| Number split | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| Times split | 17 | 14 | 14 | 13 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ \text { Court } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Court } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ \text { PW LBase202 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \text { PW L10 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compactness |  |  |  |  |
| Senate Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Reock Mean (higher is better) | . 44 | . 45 | . 45 | . 45 |
| Polsby-Popper Mean (higher is better) | . 41 | . 41 | . 41 | . 40 |
| Convex Hull (higher is better) | . 79 | . 80 | . 80 | . 79 |
| Population Polygon (higher is better) | . 76 | . 77 | . 77 | . 76 |
| Population Circle (higher is better) | . 45 | . 49 | . 49 | . 48 |
| House Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Reock Mean (higher is better) | . 44 | . 44 | . 44 | . 42 |
| Polsby-Popper Mean (higher is better) | . 42 | . 41 | . 41 | . 38 |
| Convex Hull (higher is better) | . 80 | . 79 | . 79 | . 77 |
| Population Polygon (higher is better) | . 76 | . 75 | . 75 | . 73 |
| Population Circle (higher is better) | . 45 | . 45 | . 45 | . 43 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ \text { Court } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Court } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ \text { PW LBase202 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \text { PW L10 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incumbent Pairs |  |  |  |  |
| Senate Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Incumbents Paired | 18 | 16 | 0 | 10 |
| Open Seats | 9 | 8 | 0 | 5 |
| Democrat v. Democrat | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Republican v. Republican | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Democrat v. Republican | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| House Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Incumbents Paired | 34 | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| Open Seats | 17 | 15 | 0 | 23 |
| Democrat v. Democrat | 5 | 6 | 0 | 9 |
| Republican v. Republican | 5 | 6 | 0 | 8 |
| Democrat v. Republican | 7 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| Cores of Prior Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Senate Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Average core of prior district | 69\% | 81\% | NA | 78\% |
| People Moved |  |  | NA | 1,243,808 |
| House Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Average core of prior district | 66\% | 76\% | NA | 71\% |
| People Moved |  |  | NA | 1,645,349 |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ \text { PW LBase202 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \text { PW L10 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partisanship | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \\ \text { Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2006-10 } \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ | 2012-20 Index | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2012-20 } \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ |
| Democratic Vote Statewide | 45\% | 48\% | 51\% | 51\% |
| Republican Vote Statewide | 46\% | 45\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| Third Party Vote Statewide | 9\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Senate Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Democratic Plurality | 28 | 29 | 38 | 38 |
| Democratic Seat Gap (Districts with a plurality minus proportional seats) | (2) | (3) | 4 | 4 |
| Republican Plurality | 39 | 38 | 29 | 29 |
| Republican Seat Gap (Districts with a plurality minus proportional seats) | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Competitive (plurality 8\% or less) | 27 | 23 | 16 | 13 |
| Safe (plurality $20 \%$ or more) | 15 | 17 | 25 | 25 |
| Mean-Median Gap (Democratic minus Republican mean plurality minus median plurality. Ideal is 0 .) | 3\% | 7\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Lopsided Wins Gap (Democratic average winning plurality minus Republican average winning plurality. Ideal is 0 .) | 4\% | 14\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Declination (Losing and winning Democratic pluralities graphed from smallest to largest. Ideal is 0 .) | 12\% | 22\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Efficiency Gap (Democratic wasted votes minus Republican wasted votes. Ideal is 0 .) | 6\% | 13\% | 4\% | 4\% |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Court } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ \text { PW LBase202 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ \text { PW L10 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partisanship | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \\ \text { Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2006-10 } \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ | 2012-20 Index | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2012-20 } \\ \text { Index } \end{array}$ |
| Democratic Vote Statewide | 45\% | 48\% | 51\% | 51\% |
| Republican Vote Statewide | 46\% | 45\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| Third Party Vote Statewide | 9\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| House Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Democratic Plurality | 58 | 59 | 71 | 75 |
| Democratic Seat Gap (Districts with a plurality minus proportional seats) | (2) | (6) | 3 | 7 |
| Republican Plurality | 76 | 75 | 63 | 59 |
| Republican Seat Gap (Districts with a plurality minus proportional seats) | 14 | 15 | 5 | 1 |
| Competitive (plurality 8\% or less) | 51 | 44 | 28 | 27 |
| Safe (plurality $20 \%$ or more) | 35 | 40 | 55 | 58 |
| Mean-Median Gap (Democratic minus Republican mean plurality minus median plurality. Ideal is 0 .) | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Lopsided Wins Gap (Democratic average winning plurality minus Republican average winning plurality. Ideal is 0 .) | 3\% | 14\% | 12\% | 10\% |
| Declination (Losing and winning Democratic pluralities graphed from smallest to largest. Ideal is 0 .) | 10\% | 21\% | 11\% | 6\% |
| Efficiency Gap (Democratic wasted votes minus Republican wasted votes. Ideal is 0 .) | 5\% | 13\% | 8\% | 5\% |

